UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,676	09/29/2005	Alexander Koppl	LU 6096 (US)	5583
24114 LyondellBasell	7590 04/15/200 Industries	EXAMINER		
3801 WEST CH	ESTER PIKE		HARLAN, ROBERT D	
NEWTOWN SQUARE, PA 19073			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/551,676	KOPPL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert D. Harlan	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
ologod in addordance with the practice and c	x parte quayre, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/551,676 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed by Applicant on 12/29/2008 has been entered.

2. Claim 3 and 10 have been canceled.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

3. Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on 12/29/2008 have been fully considered and they are found unpersuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Herzog, U.S. Patent No. 6,265,502 (hereinafter "Herzog"). Herzog teaches starting up an olefin polymerization process in a gas phase fluidized bed reactor using a metallocene

Application/Control Number: 10/551,676 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

to produce a polyolefin having a melt flow rate of less than 4 g/10 min with a start up phase between 12 and 72 hours. See Herzog, Abstract; col. 1, lines 36-48; col. 5, line 16 through col. 6, line 11; claim 2. Herzog further teaches increase of at least 1 deg. Celsius in the start up phase and temperatures within the upper and lower limits as prescribed by present claim 6. See Herzog, col. 4, lines 21-43. The melt flow rated is regulated by hydrogen and monomer flow. See Herzog, col. 2, lines 44-54.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v**. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herzog in view of Coalter et al., U.S. 2006/0142152 Al (hereinafter "Coalter"). Herzog differs from the present invention in that the present invention requires a metallocene of bisindenylzirconium dichloride. Coalter teaches in analogous art a start-up polymerization, with the use of bisindenylzirconium dimethyl. In recently decided KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc., the Supreme Court unanimously stated "the combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable result." In view of Coalter, one having an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Herzog by using a bisindenylzirconium metallocene. Such modification would be obvious because one would expect that the use of a start-up followed by a continued process on as taught by Herzog would be similarly useful and applicable to the polymerization process taught in Coalter.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,676

Art Unit: 1796

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/551,676

Art Unit: 1796

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be

obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199

(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert D. Harlan/ Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1796

rdh